

**ORDER**

The Motion (Doc. No. 283) is denied as moot.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE**

*Eli Richardson*

**BRAD AMOS,**

**Plaintiff,**

**v.**

**THE LAMPO GROUP, LLC,**

**Defendant.**

)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)

**Case No. 3:21-cv-00923**

**Judge Richardson**

**Jury Demand**

**MOTION TO ALLOW BRIEF SUR-REPLY TO DEFENDANT'S REPLY TO  
PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE TO MOTION TO QUASH**

Defendant in its aforementioned reply (Doc 274) improperly raised new issues not raised in their original Motion in an attempt to disallow Plaintiff a chance to respond to more personal allegations against Plaintiff's attorney. Plaintiff simply wishes to address these new issues raised in the proposed sur-reply attached hereto as Exhibit A.

Respectfully Submitted,

**THE EMPLOYMENT AND COMMERCE  
LAW GROUP,**

**/s/ Jonathan A. Street**

**JONATHAN A. STREET, BPR No. 027172**

**G. BRANDON HALL, BPR No. 034027**

**ZACHARY W. BROWN, BPR No. 037509**

1625 Broadway, Suite 601

Nashville, TN 37203

(615) 850-0632

*Attorneys for Plaintiff*